



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,689	12/16/2003	Jun Fujimoto	246661US2	3549
22850	7590	06/21/2007		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER THOMAS, ERIC M	
			ART UNIT 3714	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 06/21/2007	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/735,689

Applicant(s)

FUJIMOTO, JUN

Examiner

Eric M. Thomas

Art Unit

3714

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/16/03</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 - 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karmarkar (U.S. 6,508,709) in view of Walker (U.S. 6,293,866).

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Karmarkar provides a system capable of providing information on a game arcade, (col. 9, lines 47 – 50, 62), or hotel, (col. 14, line 26), that discloses a plurality of cameras in the game arcade for taking images of a situation in a game arcade, (col. 6, lines 23 – 39), while the images taken are shown on a display or video source in guest room in the hotel (col. 7, lines 47 – 50 and fig. 8).

Regarding claim 2, Karmarkar's system also allows selection of the images taken by a user's input (col. 6, lines 47 – 55).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Karmarkar provides a system that is capable of identifying the first and second identifier or the individual live player and the gaming machine, (col. 5, lines 61 – 64, col. 6, lines 23 - 39), but is silent on using a card identification system. In a related art, however, Walker provides a system that discloses a card system that is used to identify the user and the gaming machine in the game arcade area (see abstract, col. 3, lines 34 – 44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add a card identification

Art Unit: 3714

system into the system provided by Karmarkar in order to provide an added incentive to participate play games in the game arcade to reward those players who frequently play arcade games.

Regarding claim 5, Karmarkar provides a system that is capable of identifying the individual live player, but is silent on whether identification process includes any of the players' personal information. In a related art, however, Walker provides a system that discloses information on players that includes a player identification number and name of the user (col. 4, lines 40 – 47). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add a personal identification process of a player into the system of Karmarkar in order for a player to customize a game to their playing preferences.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Karmarkar is silent on a tabulating means for managing the second identifier from a card from a gaming machine. In a related art, however, Walker provides a system wherein the tabulating means for each gaming machine could be read from a tracking card and displayed on the gaming machine (col. 4, lines 40 – 47, col. 6, lines 10 – 12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include a tabulating means for managing the second identifier in order to track the player's performance while using the gaming machine.

Regarding claim 8, Karmarkar provides a system wherein the displaying means controls at least one electric device (col. 7, lines 19 – 27).

Regarding claim 9, Karmarkar provides a system wherein the electric device controlled by the displaying means is audio or video equipment (col. 7, lines 19 – 27).

Regarding claim 10, Karmarkar provides a system wherein the displaying means is connected to the Internet (col. 8, lines 21 – 23).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric M. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-1699. The examiner can normally be reached on 7a.m. - 3p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on (571) 272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3714

EMT

Ronald Laneau

RONALD LANEAU
PRIMARY EXAMINER

6/11/07